MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORMAT

Man	uscri	nt	ID:
1 4 T CC 11	uscii	PL	\mathbf{L}

Title of the Manuscript:

RECOMMENDATION

Following careful assessment of the manuscript, please select the most suitable recommendation. Please provide justification and rationale which led to the decision in the "Comments" section below.

- o Accept as it is
- o Requires minor revision
- o Requires moderate revision
- o Requires major revision
- o Not suitable for journal: Submit to another journal
- o Reject

CHECKLIST

1.	Are the	aims and	objectives	clearly	mentioned	in the	manuscrip	ot?
----	---------	----------	------------	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	-----

- o Yes
- o No
- o Not applicable

2.	Is the knowledge gap clearly identified to provide justification for the requirement of the
	study?

- o Yes
- o No
- o Not applicable
- 3. Are the statistical methods valid and correctly applied?
 - o Yes
 - o No
 - Not applicable
- 4. Are the figures and tables clear?
 - o Yes
 - o No
 - Not applicable
- 5. Do the figures and tables represent the information relevant to the study aims/objectives?

	0	Yes
	0	No
	0	Not applicable
6.	Are th	e results and conclusions backed up by the obtained results?
	0	Yes
	0	No
	0	Not applicable
7.	Is the	statement regarding approval from Ethics Committee mentioned in the manuscript?
	0	Yes
	0	No
	0	Not applicable
8.	Does t	the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?
	0	Yes
	0	No
	0	Not applicable
Please	select a	a suitable response for the following quality assessment questions relevant to the -Poor, 2-Acceptable, 3-Good, 4-Very Good, 5-Excellent). ific rigor 1 2 3 4 5
2.	0	ality of the manuscript flow 1 2 3 4 5
3.	Interes	st to the scientific community 1 2

_	4
0	
	5
4. English	a language proficiency
0	1
0	2
0	3
0	4
0	5
COMMENTS	
information su detailed, logica contribute to th	ease provide feedback on individual sections (e.g., Introduction: Is the background afficient and the research gap justified?; Methodology: Are the methods accurate, al, and reproducible?; Results: Are the results novel, appropriately analyzed, and he advancement of understanding?; Discussion: Is it well written and sufficiently stify the results?
-	ou have comments that you want to communicate directly and confidentially to the mention only in the "Confidential comments to the Editor" section.
	comments to the Editor r comments here.
1	
Comments to	the Author

If you have annotated and commented directly on the manuscript file (MS Word or PDF file),

File name

please use this section to upload these files.

S. No.

Would you be willing to review a revised version of this manuscript?

If a revision (minor, moderate or major) of the manuscript is suggested, the authors will be requested to re-submit the revised version of the manuscript based on the reviewer's comments/suggestions. Would you like to review the revised version and give your final consent on the manuscript? Please choose an appropriate response.

- o Yes
- o No
- o Not Applicable